

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/039,957	12/31/2001	Sithya S. Khieu	53325US002	8431	
32692	7590 03/25/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
3M INNOV PO BOX 334	ATIVE PROPERTIES C	HARTMAN	HARTMANN, GARY S		
	MN 55133-3427	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3671	· <u> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</u>	
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2004	DATE MAILED: 03/25/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•							
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/039,957	KHIEU ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Gary Hartmann	3671				
Period for	 The MAILING DATE of this communication appropriate the property 	pears on the cover she	eet with the correspondence a	ddress			
THE N - Extension after S - If the p - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute aply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, in y within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6), cause the application to become.	may a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered time NONTHS from the mailing date of this of the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status				•			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 F</u>	ebruary 2004.					
•		action is non-final.					
3)□	, -						
Disposition	on of Claims			•			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,4-12 and 15-27 is/are pending in the day Of the above claim(s) 24-26 is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,4-12,15-23 and 27 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration					
Application	on Papers						
10)🛛 1	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 31 December 2001 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	re: a)⊠ accepted or drawing(s) be held in a tion is required if the dra	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). awing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Pape	view Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 1/12/4.	5) Notice 6) Othe	ce of Informal Patent Application (PT r:	O-152)			

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 4, 6-9, 12, 15, 18, 21, 22 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Eigenmann (U.S. Patent 4,072,403). Eigenmann discloses a marker and method of making pavement markers including forming an array of discrete retroreflective elements (G, Figure 8, for example) in a predefined pattern interconnected by a carrier web (16'). The elements (G) are bonded to the web (16'). There is a frangible connection (14') between a plurality of the pavement elements and the carrier web (Figure 7, for example).

Regarding claims 6 and 7, see column 2, lines 14-20, for example.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 17 and 23 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eigenmann, as applied above. Given Eigenmann's disclosure that the web is designed to be

Art Unit: 3671

severed, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used slits around a perimeter of the elements in order to separate the elements.

Regarding claim 23, it is well known to use cube corner retroreflective elements in pavement markers and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have done so with Eigenmann in order to obtain a desired visibility characteristic.

Claims 3, 10, 11, 16 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eigenmann as applied above, and further in view of Clark et al. (U.S. Patent 5,853,846). Eigenmann is silent with respect to an adhesive; however, the markers are designed to be adhered to a roadway and it is well known to use pressure sensitive adhesives to apply markers to roadways. For example, Clark et al. teach a pressure sensitive adhesive (8) with a liner (10). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the adhesive of Clark et al. with the marker of Eigenmann in order to obtain a secure connection between the marker and the pavement.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed February 25, 2004 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Note that Clark et al. has only been used as a teaching of using pressure sensitive adhesive in this Office action.

Art Unit: 3671

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Hartmann whose telephone number is 703-305-4549. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached on 703-308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3671

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary Hartmann Primary Examiner Art Unit 3671

gh